Where everybody matters

	Item	Update		Actions and recommendations	Who
	Calne CATG - Date of meeting: 14 th March 2017				
1.	Attendees and apologies				
		Present: Apologies:	Cllr. Christine Crisp (Wiltshire Council), Clare Harris (Calne Town Council), Anne Henshaw (Calne Area Transport), Richard Tucker (Bremhill Parish Council), Peter Alberry (Compton Bassett Parish Council), Simon Tomlinson (Cherhill Parish Council), Stephen Stott (Hilmarton Parish Council), Mark Stansby(Wiltshire Council – Senior Highways Engineer), Jane Vaughan (WiltshireCouncil – Community Engagement Manager), Spencer Drinkwater (Wiltshire Council - Principal Transport and Development Manager). Matt Perrott (Wiltshire Council Highways Engineer), Ed Jones (Calne Parish Forum and Calne Without Parish Council).	Area Board to note.	
2.	Notes of last meeting				
	The minutes of the previous meeting had been circulated to the group and were presented to the <u>Area Board on 06/12/2016</u>		CATG to note.		

Where everybody matters

3.	Financial Position				
		Finance sheet was presented. Current Balance = \pounds 11,414.35 (see attachment 1)	Area Board to note.		
		Ringway rates will be subject to a 4.5% uplift in 2017 / 18.			
4.	Top 5 Priority Schemes				
a)	Springfield School Crossing Facility (A4 Curzon Street) Issue No: 2904, 2909	Final account settled at £11,300.	<i>To recommend to the Area Board that Issues 2904 and 2909 be closed.</i>	CC	
b)	Pedestrian Safety at A4 White Hart Junction Issue No: 3483	 Town Council had considered the options at its Town Development and Planning meeting: 152/16 'Members noted they are being asked to consider the three options in relation to improving pedestrian safety however they felt strongly that issues surrounding pedestrian safety, air quality and traffic flow should be considered collectively not in isolation. This approach is simply not practical. Members were disappointed that Wiltshire Council seems to be focussing solely on this one aspect, and whilst pedestrian safety is a concern and may need addressing, a holistic approach is required. Members were not minded to approve any of the options presented. Members requested feedback from the CATG meeting at which this will be discussed'. The CATG discussed that options they had presented to the Town Council were in response to an issue from a member of the public relating to pedestrian safety and the ability to cross from Silver Street to the Green. 			

		The CATG discussed the options presented to the Town Council. Although CATG had responded to a pedestrian safety issue, they were disappointed that CTC assumed this was the only issue considered. CATG considered the CTC suggestion of an extra traffic lane would only exacerbate the problem. Further discussion with the Town Council might be helpful.	Cllr Crisp to write to Cllr Hill in his role of chair of the Town Council TD&P committee.	сс
C)	Anchor Road / Brewers Lane Traffic Management Measures Issue No: 3536 & 3639	Feasibility report presented to CATG for discussion. See attachments 2 and 3	Refer to Town Council – to be considered by Town TD&P committee on 29 th March.	СН
d)	Cherhill Village – 20mph Speed Limit Issue No: n/a	Site work complete, final account to be settled with Contractor.	Area Board to note.	CC
e)	Calne School Road area – 20mph Speed Limit Issue No: n/a	Detail design nearing completion. Scheme to be implemented in first quarter of new financial year.	Area Board to note.	CC
5.	Other Priority schemes			
a)	Lickhill Road Issue No: 3355, 2004, 1807, 522	Wales & West working on gas main repairs. This work is expected to run throughout March.Highways to review outstanding work on traffic calming scheme.	Area Board to note.	CC
b)	Bentley Lane Issue No: 3198	All signs now installed. Sign posts to be painted in "Calne" green. Work expected to be completed by end of March.	Area Board to note.	CC

c)	Springfield School Bus Stop (A4 Curzon Street)	Construction work complete.	Area Board to note.	CC
	Issue No: 3211	Lighting unit to be connected – highways have chased lighting contractor on several occasions – latest date for completion by contractor is 27 th March.	Highways to action	MS
ò.	New Requests / Issues (Issues	s can be viewed in full from Area Board section on Wiltshire Webs	ite)	
a)	Issue 4404 Calne The Pippin. Contravention of Bus Lane (Gate)	The CATG was trying to identify evidence of this matter. JV reported the neighbourhood policing team suggestion that this area could be captured from the town CCTV cameras. CH felt that this wasn't the case. CATG would request that the mobile CCTV van be deployed in the area.	Request that the police deploy mobile CCTV van in the area.	JV
)	Issue 4441 Mile Elm and Sandy Lane – request for Vehicle Activated Warning Signs	A briefing note, which was prepared for the Cabinet Member for Highways, was presented. The Parish Council have been informed that no further work will take place here until after a period of monitoring has taken place, over the Winter months.	Area Board to note.	CC
c)	Issue 4456 – A4 Quemerford near Stockley Lane – speeding concerns / HGVs speeding	Metrocount results received (attachment 4) – The survey was carried out between 01/12/2016 and 12/12/2016. A total of 80394 vehicles were checked. The 85 th percentile was 33.6mph (the 85 th percentile is the speed at which 85% of the traffic is travelling at or below). The average speed was 29.4mph. <u>In a 30mph speed limit the following criteria will be applied;</u> 30 to 35mph 85th percentile = No Further Action (NFA)	Recommend issue is closed.	

		It was noted that Councillor Hill was investigating the review of pre-existing schemes formerly approved at Lakeview and Stockley Lane.		
d)	Issue 4488 – Speeding on A4 Curzon St, between bypass roundabout and St Mary's School	Awaiting Metrocount results.	Area Board to note	JV
e)	Issue 4529 - Calne Station Road – Request for parking controls	Town Council supportive of advisory access protection markings. Markings on order at no cost to the CATG	Area Board to note	СС
f)	Issue 4553 – Calne The Glebe – request for "No parking " sign (effectively residents parking scheme)	Town Council response: Support in principle but do not wish to contribute financially. CATG felt this was effectively a request for a residents parking scheme. The correspondent would be contacted to request an indication of support from other residents. Awaiting information about the residents parking scheme to send to residents.	Area Board to note	JV/MS
g)	Issue 4819 – Calne Broken Cross area – request for dropped kerbs	 Town Council response: Support in priniciple but do not wish to contribute financially. Highways Officers had undertaken a site visit and reported that there were dropped kerbs at this location. CATG discussed that a community project had previously been suggested that would enable local priority spots for more dropped kerbs to be implemented. 	Area Board to note	CC/JV

		The Community Safety Forum had not taken up the opportunity to progress that project. Area Board would be asked to promote the opportunity for another community group to run that project. No group yet identified – JV/CH were attending the forthcoming Community Safety Forum and would raise the matter there.		
h)	Issue 4863 - Signage relating to lanes at Chilvester Roundabout.	Update from Highways. Estimate for signage scheme and temporary traffic management is £1,850. Town Council to be asked for support and 50% contribution.	Refer to the Town Council	СН
i)	Issue 4928 – Foxham West End – request for community speed watch	Request currently with the contractors they have not yet given a deployment date.	Area Board to note	CC
j)	Issue 5209 - Improvements to Maud Heath's Causeway	New Issue – Supported by Bremhill Parish Council The Trust would like to request that the CATG reopens the issue on its system in order to move forward with a review of the costs involved which will start the identification of potential funding streams.	Area Board to note Highways to review costings	CC MS
k)				
I)				
m)				

Where everybody matters

	Other items			
a)	Issues awaiting a response from Town & Parish Councils	 Issue 5075 – A4 junction with A342 Old Derry Hill – request for improved Give Way signing from Calne Without Parish Council. Cllr Ed Jones had sent apologies to the meeting and no response had been received. 	Area Board to note	CC
b)	20 mph Zones and Limits Yatesbury: 	Parish Council did not wish to progress with a 20mph review. Alternative measures being considered by the Parish Council – they will raise a new issue in the future.	Parish Council to raise new issue in the future. Area Board to note.	ST
c)	Freight Management	Update received from Spencer Drinkwater A3102 has been prioritised for a freight assessment.	Area Board to note	
d)	Calne Community Transport Strategy.	Update from Anne Henshaw, brief is about to be sent to the consultant for development based upon objectives outlined by Calne Area Transport.	Area Board to note	



COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

Calne Community Area Transport Group

Highways Officer – Mark Stansby Community Engagement Manager – Jane Vaughan

1. Environmental & Community Implications

1.1. Environmental and community implications were considered by the CATG during their deliberations. The funding of projects will contribute to the continuance and/or improvement of environmental, social and community wellbeing in the community area, the extent and specifics of which will be dependent upon the individual project.

2. Financial Implications

- 2.1. All decisions must fall within the Highways funding allocated to Calne Area Board.
- 2.2. If funding is allocated in line with CATG recommendations outlined in this report, and all relevant 3rd party contributions are confirmed, Calne Area Board will have a remaining Highways funding balance of £11,414.35.

3. Legal Implications

3.1. There are no specific legal implications related to this report.

4. HR Implications

4.1. There are no specific HR implications related to this report.

5. Equality and Inclusion Implications

5.1 The schemes recommended to the Area Board will improve road safety for all users of the highway.

6. Safeguarding implications

6.1. There are no specific Safeguarding implications related to this report.

Where everybody matters